



## Research Article



# Effect of cultivation method on yield, yield attributes and economics attributes of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) the semi – arid Condition of Kandahar Afghanistan

Noor Mohammad Kakar, Mirza Mohammad Modasir and Habibullah Hamayoun

Afghanistan National Agricultural Science and Technology University, Afghanistan

Corresponding author e-mail: [noormohammad.kakar@yahoo.com](mailto:noormohammad.kakar@yahoo.com)

(Received: 08/06/2022; Revised: 25/10/2022; Accepted: 03/11/2022)

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at the Agronomy farm, Afghanistan National Agricultural Science and Technology University, during the period from 2 March to 12 June 2020 to study the effect of two types of cultivation methods such as raised bed and flatbed on the yield, yield attributes and economics attributes of chickpea. The experiment was laid out in a Split Plot design with three replications. All the nutrients were applied as basal on land preparation operation. Seeds were sown manually @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for a flatbed as well as @ 45cm x 10 cm spacing for a raised bed. Observations were recorded for various growth parameters and yield attributes at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and harvest. Statistical analysis of data was done online through OPSTAT software. Raised bed cultivation method significantly enhanced the growth parameters of chickpea viz., plant height (4.44 cm, 8.40 cm and 25.45 cm at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and harvest), dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> (3.12 g, 5.26 g and 15.50 g at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and at harvest). Yield attributes viz., number of pod plant<sup>-1</sup> (20.15), number of grain pod<sup>-1</sup> (1.38), and 1,000 grain weight (207.44 g) showed remarkable improvement with raised bed cultivation method. Yield of chickpea viz., grain yield (1.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), Stover yield (1.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) biological yield (3.44 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (27.44 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded significantly higher with raised bed cultivation method. Similarly, significantly higher economics attributes viz., Cost of cultivation (26,989 AFN ha<sup>-1</sup>), gross returns (104,795 AFN ha<sup>-1</sup>), net returns (76,854 AFN ha<sup>-1</sup>), and benefit cost ratio (2.78), recorded with raised bed cultivation method.

**Keywords:** Chickpea; yield and cultivation method

### INTRODUCTION

Pulses occupy a unique position in every known system of farming all over the world. Among the pulse crops chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a major pulse crop grown in Afghanistan for food and also used as a feed for animals. It is predominantly grown as irrigated and rain-fed in some parts of the country. Chickpea is mostly consumed in the form of processed whole seeds (boiled, roasted, parched, fried, steamed, sprouted, etc.). Chickpea is a good source of protein (18-22%), carbohydrate (52-70%), fat (4-10%), minerals (calcium, phosphorus, iron) and vitamins (Choudhary, 2014). Chickpea is not only a source of dietary protein but also helps in the maintenance of soil fertility due to their nitrogen-fixing capability. Despite its importance as a pulse and forage crop, the yield of chickpeas is low in Afghanistan compared to other countries of the world. Amongst the agronomic practices, land configurations and proper spacing are of great importance (Reddy et al., 2003). Several workers have reported a positive response in seed yield of chickpeas to cultivation methods under protective the semi-arid condition. So, there is a need to adopt suitable management practices like a proper cultivation method for ensuring yield increment in

chickpeas at Madhya Pradesh. Therefore, the study was conducted to evaluate the different sowing methods on seed yield in chickpea cultivation method is one of the important agronomic practices which greatly affects the yield and profit of many crops including chickpea. It is, therefore, necessary to evaluate the need for judicious use of cultivation methods. The current research was conducted to find out the best cultivation method for chickpea for obtaining higher agronomic characteristics and yield in the Kandahar province situation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Experimental Site

The present investigation entitled “Effect of cultivation method on yield, yield attributes and economics attributes of chickpea. An experiment was conducted at the Agronomy farm, Afghanistan National Agricultural Science and Technology University, during the period from 2 March to 12 June 2020, Geographically, Kandahar is situated in southern part of Afghanistan, with low-latitude semi-arid hot climate south of Afghanistan between latitude ranging from 31° 30' north and longitude from 65° 50' east's and is located on an elevation of about 1010 meters above mean sea level.

### Climate and soil

Kandahar has a subtropical steppe/low-latitude semi-arid hot climate. According to the Hold ridge life zones system of bioclimatic classification, Kandahar is situated in or near the warm temperate desert scrub biome. The annual mean temperature is 18.5 degrees Celsius. The average monthly temperature in Kandahar is 26.8 °C. The total annual average precipitation is 190.6 mm which is equivalent to 190.6 liters/m<sup>2</sup>. On average there are 3464 sunshine hours per year. The average sunshine hours of the region ranged from 6:39 per day during February to 12:14 per day during August month. The mean relative humidity varies from 23% in June to 59% in February (<http://www.kandahar.climatemps.com>). During the crop growth period, the maximum temperature was 32 °C in (7-13 of May) and the minimum temperature was 0 °C from (1-7 of Jan). Moreover, the maximum relative humidity was 60.8% during 23-29 of January and the minimum relative humidity was 15.3% in (7-13 of May). The crop received total 49.8 mm of water from rainfall in five rainy days during crop growth period. The soil was having a texture of sandy clay loam. Organic matter of soil (0.69%) and PH (8.3) and available nitrogen (81.1 Kg/ ha), low in available phosphorus (8.97 kg/ ha) and available potassium (179.6 kg/ha. First irrigation was given at 18 days after sowing the four additional irrigations were given to the fulfillment of crop with 15 days interval.

### Experimental design and treatment

The experiment was laid out with two types of cultivation methods (Raised bed and flatbed) in Randomized Block Design (RBD). There were 8 treatments and each treatment was replicated 3 times. The plot size was 16 m<sup>2</sup> (4.00 m×4.00 m), total No. of plots (24) and a Variety of Kabuli chickpea. The blocks and unit plots were separated by 0.75 m and 0.5 m. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were uniformly applied @ 35, 60 and 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in all plots as basal with the last land preparation operation in the form of Urea and potassium sulphate respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth parameters

Data about the growth parameters of chickpea under the Cultivation method are over control at every stage of crop growth. At 30, 60 DAS and at harvest, the best method of cultivation was raised bed recorded significantly greater plant height (25.45 cm) compared to a flat bed. These results agree with the findings of K S Bhargav et al. (2018). The Dry matter per plant was maximum (15.50gr) when raised bed method which was significantly. These results conform with the findings of Shashikumar et al. (2013) and S. K. Royl et al. (1995). Data about yield and yield attributes of chickpea as influenced by cultivation method are indicated in (Table 2). The number of pods per plant of chickpea improved positively due to the method of cultivation. The use of raised bed cultivation method resulted in the production of a maximum number of pods per plant (20.15) of

chickpea. The raised bed remained statistically superior to the flatbed respectively. This increase in the number of pods per plant with the cultivation method has resulted from more pronounced growth of the plant which in turn had increased the number of pods per plant. Bhargav, K. S., et al. (2018) and Basir, A. et al. (2008) noticed that the cultivation method at higher levels resulted in increased pod per plant.

**Table 1.** Effect of cultivation method on Plant height and Dry matter of chickpea in Kandahar province of Afghanistan.

| Cultivation method | Plant height      |        |       | Dry matter        |        |       |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|-------|
|                    | 30 DAS at harvest | 60 DAS | 25.45 | 30 DAS at harvest | 60 DAS | 15.50 |
| Raised bed         | 4.44              | 8.40   | 25.45 | 3.12              | 5.26   | 15.50 |
| Flatbed            | 3.83              | 7.80   | 24.82 | 2.75              | 5.07   | 14.86 |
| (S E m±)           | 0.09              | 0.09   | 0.08  | 0.06              | 0.02   | 0.09  |
| CD (P=0.05)        | 0.59              | 0.61   | 0.54  | 0.40              | 0.19   | 0.64  |

The number of grains per pod increased positively due to different cultivation methods in chickpea. During the study of investigation, it was observed that the use of raised bed produced the highest number of grains per pod (1.38) which was statistically on par with a flat bed. Treatment having raised bed had significantly more grain per pod. These results are further supported by the findings of Hemat, M et al. (2017) and Islam et al. (2013).

That cultivation method at higher levels resulted in increased crop growth, a particularly positive impact was noted on branching, pods, seeds and increased seed yield. Results of the present study indicated that the 1000-grain weight of chickpea was considerably affected due by the cultivation method. The maximum thousand-grain weight of chickpea (207.44 g) was recorded from the cultivation method of the raised bed. But it remained statistically at par with the method of the flatbed. Also, Tripathi, L. K. and Thomas, T (2013) observed in Iran, that grain yield, the number of seeds pod per plant and 1000 seed weight of chickpea were increased by cultivation method, similarly, Basir et al. (2008) were also recorded significantly maximum 1000 grain weight for raised bed method. The grain yield of chickpea improves remarkably due to different cultivation methods. The cultivation method of the raised bed resulted in the highest grain yield (1.21 t/ha-1) of chickpea. This treatment was significantly better but was at par with the flatbed method. Similar observations were also noted by Meena et al. (2010), Singh, V et al. (2017) and Singh, D et al. (2020), who reported that there was a significant increase in the seed yield of chickpea. The best cultivation method of raised bed produced the highest straw yield (1.33 t ha-1) of chickpea but it was statistically similar to flatbed. This may be due to the adequate supply of cultivation methods that played a vital role in physiological and developmental processes in plant life and the favourable

effect of these important nutrients might have accelerated the growth processes that as result increased the straw yield of the crop. These findings conform with the results of Kumar, P et al. (2017) and Roy, S. K. et al. (1995), who stated that the method increased dry matter production at various growth stages. The maximum biological yield (3.44 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of chickpea was noted from raised bed method, but it was statistically similar with a flat bed. Similar results were also obtained by Bhargav, K. S et al. (2018) and Hemat et al. (2017), who revealed that raised bed method increased the growth and yield parameters. The highest value of harvest index (27.44) was recorded raised bed method which was followed by flatbed. However, the harvest index was statistically identical under all cultivation methods. The harvest index determines the amount of photosynthesis being translocated to economically important parts of the plant and the productive efficiency of the crop is determined by the extent to which assimilates are accumulated in the desirable parts of the plant (Ghapari et al 2017). Thus, the physiological efficiency of a crop to partition the available photosynthesis between its seed and other seed parts is reflected in its harvest index. Gross returns enhanced remarkably due to the cultivation method of chickpea. The maximum gross returns

(104795 AFN) of chickpea were recorded with the cultivation method of the raised bed. Similar results were also obtained by Bhargav, K. S et al. (2018) and Hemat et al. (2017), who revealed that the raised bed method increased the Gross returns. Gross returns (AFN ha<sup>-1</sup>) = Economic yield × market price of produce. For obtaining the net returns (AFN ha<sup>-1</sup>), the cost of cultivation was reduced from the gross returns of each plot. Net returns followed almost the same trend as gross returns and were positively influenced due to different sowing methods. The highest net returns (76854AFN) of chickpea were obtained from the cultivation method of raised bed, this had a significant correlation (Ghapari et al 2017). Net returns (AFN ha<sup>-1</sup>) = Gross returns – the cost of cultivation. For the calculation of benefit-cost ratio, the gross return was divided by the cost of cultivation. The value obtained was considered as benefit cost ratio. Benefit: cost ratio improved markedly due to various sowing methods. The highest benefit: cost ratio (2.78) of chickpea was recorded with the cultivation method of raised bed this had significant. These findings conform with the results of Kumar, P et al. (2017) and Roy, S. K. et al. (1995), who stated that method increased benefit: cost ratio.

**Table 2.** Effect of cultivation method on yield and yield attributes of chickpea in Kandahar province of Afghanistan.

| Treatment          | Pod Plant -1 | Grain pod-1 | Test Weight ( gm.) | Grain yield (t ha-1) | Straw yield (t ha-1) | Biological yield (t ha-1) | Harvest index |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Cultivation method |              |             |                    |                      |                      |                           |               |
| Raised bed         | 20.15        | 1.38        | 207.44             | 1.21                 | 1.33                 | 3.44                      | 27.44         |
| Flatbed            | 19.72        | 1.16        | 206.83             | 1.06                 | 1.16                 | 2.83                      | 26.83         |
| SE m ±             | 0.06         | 0.02        | 0.07               | 0.01                 | 0.02                 | 0.09                      | 0.09          |
| CD(P=0.05)         | 0.43         | 0.16        | 0.51               | 0.11                 | 0.16                 | 0.59                      | 0.59          |

## CONCLUSION

It was concluded from the study that crop planted on a raised bed with proper production technology gives good yield as well as economically feasible as compared to other methods of sowing chickpea. Thus, the overall performance of chickpea was superior in furrow irrigated raised bed (FIRB) over another planting system.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, M. Y., Biswas, P. K., Shahriar, S. A., Nasif, S. O., & Raihan, R. R. 2018. Yield and quality response of chickpea to different sowing dates. *Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science*, 1-8.
- Albanese, M. A., & Mitchell, S. 1993. Problem-based learning: A review of literature on its outcomes and implementation issues. *Academic Medicine-Philadelphia*, 68, 52-52.
- Akibode, C. S. 2011. Trends in the production, trade, and consumption of food-legume crops in sub-Saharan Africa (No. 1097-2016-88694).
- Akibode, C. S., & Maredia, M. K. 2001. Global and regional trends in production, trade and

consumption of food legume crops (No. 1099-2016-89132)

- Bhargav, K. S., Gupta, N., Patel, N., & Pandey, A. 2018. Performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) sown on different seed bed configurations in Malwa region of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 6(2), 172-175.
- Basir, A., Shah, Z., Naeem, M., Bakht, J., & Khan, Z. H. 2008. Effect of phosphorus and farm yard manure on agronomic traits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Sarhad J. Agric*, 24(4), 567-572.
- Badini, S. A., Khan, M., Baloch, S. U., Baloch, S. K., Baloch, H. N., Bashir, W., ... & Badini, M. A. 2015. Effect of phosphorus levels on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 5(3), 169-176.
- Choudhary, A.K. 2014. Agronomy of Pulse and Oilseed Crops. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. Desai.
- Dotaniya, M. L., Pingoliya, K. K., Lata, M., Verma, R., Regar, K. L., Deewan, P., & Dotaniya, C. K. 2014. Role of phosphorus in chickpea (*Cicer*

- arietinum L.) production. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **9**(51), 3736-3743.
- Das, S. K., Biswas, B., & Jana, K. 2016. Effect of farm yard manure, phosphorus and sulphur on yield parameters, yield, nodulation, nutrient uptake and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, **8**(2), 545-549.
- Hemat, M., Ghafari, S. R., Mangal, M. Q., Derwish, H. L. H. O., & Omari, M. A. 2017. Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to variable levels of phosphorus fertilization in Ghazni province, Afghanistan. *E-planet*, **15**(2), 91-95.
- Hussen, S., Yirga, F., & Tibebe, F. 2013. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer on yield and yield components of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) at Kelemeda, south Wollo, Ethiopia. *Int. J. Soil Crop Sci*, **1**(1), 1-4.
- Islam, M., Akmal, M., & Khan, M. A. 2013. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application on soil nutrient balance under chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) monocropping. *Romanian Agric. Res.*, (30).
- Kumar, P., Prajapat, O.P. and Parihar, R. 2017. Effect of different levels of phosphorus, sulphur and cultivars on growth and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Farm Sci.* **7**(2): 57-59.
- McKenzie, B. A., & Hill, G. D. 1995. Growth and yield of two chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties in Canterbury, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, **23**(4), 467-474.
- Mazid M. 2014. Seed priming application of gibberellic acid on growth, biochemical, yield attributes and protein status of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L. cv. DCP 92-3). *International Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, **5**(1), 17-22.
- Mian, F. A., Ajdary, A., & Fazeli, A. 1976. The threshold sugar level for yeast biomass production from molasses. *Journal of Fermentation Technology*.
- Omran, A. H., Dass, A., Rajanna, G. A., Dhar, S., Choudhary, A. K., Meena, S. L., & Rathore, S. S. 2020. Root-shoot characteristics, yield and economics of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under variable rates of phosphorus and nitrogen. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, **49**(1), 13-19.
- Prajapati, B. J., Gudadhe, N., Gamit, V. R., & Chhaganiya, H. J. 2017. Effect of integrated phosphorus management on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea. *Farming and Management*, **2**(1), 36-40.
- Pinney, A., & Ronchini, S. 2007. Food security in Afghanistan after 2001: from assessment to analysis and interpretation to response. *Reconstructing agriculture in Afghanistan*, 119-164.
- Roy, S. K. 1995. Effect of planting method on growth and seed yield of chickpea under rainfed conditions. In *Proceedings Agronomy Society of NZ*. **25**: 59.
- Shoman, H. 2017. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under New Valley conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Desert Research*, **67**(2), 305-318.
- Singh, V., Thenua, O. V. S., & Shivay, Y. S. 2017. Effect of phosphorus nutrition on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in sunflower-chickpea cropping system. *Progress Res Int J*, **12**(III), 2371-2378.
- Singh, U., Praharaj, C. S., Shivay, Y. S., Kumar, L., & Singh, S. S. 2015. Ferti-fortification: an agronomic approach for micronutrient enrichment of pulses. In *Pulses: challenges and opportunities under changing climatic scenario*, In: *Proceedings of the national conference on "Pulses: challenges and opportunities under changing climatic scenario* (Vol. 29, pp. 208-222).
- Tripathi, L. K., Thomas, T., & Kumar, S. U. S. H. I. L. 2013. Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Asian Journal of Soil Science*, **8**(3), 260-263.
- Rehman, H., Qamar, R., ur Rehman, A., Ahmad, F., Qamar, J., Saqib, M., & Nawaz, S. 2015. Effect of different sowing dates on growth and grain yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars under agro-environment of Taluka Dokri Sindh, Pakistan. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46-53.
- Woldearegay, B. S., Argaw, A., Feyisa, T., Abdulkadir, B., & Wold-Meskel, E. 2020. Response of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to Sulphur and Zinc Nutrients Application and Rhizobium Inoculation in North Western Ethiopia. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, **8**(10), 2040-2048.

**Citation:** Kakar, N.M.; Modasir, M.M. and Hamayoyun, H. 2022. Effect of cultivation method on yield, yield attributes and economics attributes of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) the semi – arid Condition of Kandahar Afghanistan. *International Journal of Agricultural and Applied Sciences*, 3(2): 86-89.  
<https://doi.org/10.52804/ijaas2022.3215>

**Copyright:** © Kakar et al. 2022. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. IJAAS allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.